Review

Please send a filled-in form to: art.history@vdu.lt

| The title of the article | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Date | |

I CONTENT

1. The presentation of the problem / the object of research

| Clear and comprehensive |
|--------------------------|
| Needs to be complemented |
| Unclear |

Comment

2. Theoretical substantiation

| Author's original approach |
|---|
| Sufficiently based on previous research |
| Not sufficiently based on previous research |
| Correct relationship to previous research |
| Not correct relationship to previous research |
| Theoretical basis is missing |

Comment

3. The originality and relevance of the problem / the object of research

| New information is presented |
|--|
| Information is relevant in Lithuanian / global art history and criticism |
| The new interpretation of information |
| Repetition of already known thing |
| Originality and relevance are missing |

Comment

4. The level of scientific argumentation

| Consistent and comprehensive scientific argumentation |
|---|
| Sufficient scientific argumentation |
| Insufficient scientific argumentation, needs to be complemented |
| The level of description, scientific argumentation is missing |

Comment

5. The validity of the conclusions

| Conclusions consistently sum up the research |
|--|
| Conclusions are fragmentary |
| Conclusions are missing |

Comment

II FORM

6. The clarity of the article's title

| Clear enough |
|-------------------|
| Needs corrections |

Comment

7. Informative level of the summary

| Informative enough |
|--------------------------|
| Needs to be complemented |

Comment

8. The list of the keywords

| Clear enough |
|-------------------|
| Needs corrections |

Comment

9. Illustrations, tables, etc.

| | Relevant (related to the text) |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| | Not informative |
| | Too many illustrations / tables |
| Comment | |

10. References

| Presented correctly |
|-------------------------------|
| Necessary to make corrections |
| References are missing |

Comment

III EVALUTATION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

11. The evaluation of the content

| Can be published without corrections |
|--|
| Minor corrections in content need to be made |

| Not comprehensive, important corrections need to be made (needs to be |
|---|
| reviewed once more) |
| Inappropriate |

Comment

12. The evaluation of the form

| Appropriate |
|---|
| Appropriate after corrections (as specified in II part) |
| Inappropriate |

Comment